

Revenue (1941) Department

MS. SERIES.

G.O. No. MS. 2 562

Dated 10th November 1941

[Despatch Abstract.]

Advances - Loans - (Bellary) - Bellary Taluk - Sanjeevarayan
kota village - L.I.L. 3/33 - elimination from accounts and
reduction from the demand - orders passed.

Back papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ms	986	Rev	1-5-41				

Further papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C. No. 3745 e/621

Rev Department.

List of records put up

Number.	Date	Department.	Signature of Clerk putting up the paper.	Signature of Clerk removing the paper.
20.986	1-5-61	Rev		
0.620	1-4-80	Rev		
455	11-3-33	Rev	He 2/10/61	
Records as per list at 15-16 of				

Revenue Department.

Current No.

Received.....1941.

Registered.....1941.

OCT 1941

Subject.

Advances - Loans - (Bellary) - Bellary Taluk - Sanjeevarayankota village
L.I.L.3/33 - Elimination from accounts - Proposals - Submitted.

Ref.S-1586/41-2

M a d r a s

13th October 1941.

From

S.Y.Krishnaswamy Esquire, I.C.S.,
Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue. . .

G.W.Priestley Esquire, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue
and Irrigation.

R e a d :

- pp 1-5 info (1) From A.D.Crombie Esquire, C.I.E., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary, Ref.on C-A1-5308/40 dated 22-2-41.
- pp 7-8 info (2) Board's Ref.S-1586/41-1 dated 1-5-41.
- pp 9-10 info (3) From A.D.Crombie Esquire, C.I.E., I.C.S., Collector of Bellary R.O.C. A1-5308/40 dated 24-8-41.

Reference:

Submitted to the Government.

2. In the circumstances reported, the Board considers that the entry relating to the Collector's Loan No.L.I.L.3/33 is clearly a mistake and recommends that the Government may be pleased to order the deletion of the entries relating to it in the connected loan records and the reduction of a sum of Rs.1000/- covered by the loan from the demand.

Shamachandran
for Joint Secretary.

14.10.41.

To The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, with records as per list.

S.G/14-10

14/10/41

Copy of letter from A.D.Crombie Esquire, C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Collector of Bellary, Ref.on C-A-1-5308/40 dated 22-2-41.

Sub: Advances - Loans - Bellary Taluk - Sanjeevarayankota village
L I L O No.3/33 and L I L O No.3/34 - Double entry in
the Huzur and Taluk ledgers - Elimination of L I L O
3/33.

The Huzur loan ledgers show that a sum of Rs.1,000/- was granted to one Rudra Gowd, son of Somana Gowd, of Sanjeevarayan-
kota village of Bellary Taluk in each of L I L O No.3/33 and -
L I L O No.3/34 for the construction of a protective embankment
(vaddu) in S.Nos.259 and 261 on the hypothication of the lands to
be improved. The entries indicate that both the loans were paid
on one and the same date, i.e., on 13-10-1924. The Treasury -
Deputy Collector, Bellary, reports that the same entries are found
in the Taluk loan ledgers also, as the latter were written with re-
ference to the Huzur ledgers. The borrower was also granted a loan
of Rs.2000/- in L.I.L.O.No.2/34 for the repair of a well in S.No.
238 of Sanjeevarayankota village on the security of S.Nos.238,321,
322,229,241,156^A and 186 H and on the surety of one Basavana Gowd
to whom the lands were already mortgaged.

2. The Tahsildar, Bellary, reports that the borrower was
granted only one loan of Rs.1000/- in L.I.L.O.3/34 and that the
payment made in L.I.L.O.No.3/33 is not evidenced by any entry in
any accounts other than the Huzur and taluk loan ledgers referred
to above. He contends that it is improbable that loans of differ-
ent faslis would have been advanced on the same date to the same
person for the same work and that L.I.L.O.No.3/33 is a double entry.
He reports that the records relating to it are ^{not} forthcoming in
the Taluk Office, and that only the records relating to L.I.L.O.
No.3/34 and 2/34 are available in his office.

3. The Treasury Deputy Collector made a reference to the
Accountant General, Madras, requesting him to send the loans pay-
ment vouchers relating to the month of October 1924 together with
a copy of the schedule of payments for October 1924 for verifica-
tion. He also asked him for copies of the plus and minus memo-
randa relating to Land Improvement Loans and Agriculturists Loans
Acts, if available. The Accountant General informed him that the
payment vouchers and other documents required have been destroyed
in the usual course. The Treasury Deputy Collector then addressed
the Board of Revenue for copies of Loans Demand, collection and
balances from September to December 1924 and for reports or infor-
mation ^{regarding} ~~relating to~~ the reconciliation of the Treasury and Admini-
strative

balances for the period between the years 1924 and 1928 relating to Bellary district. The Board informed him in its L.Dis.S-8807/40 dated 13-11-1940 that the D.C.B. statements from September to December 1924 have been destroyed and that no papers ^{dealing} relating with the reconciliation of the treasury and administrative balances during the years 1924 to 1928 are traceable.

4. The District Registrar, Bellary, ~~who~~ ^{also} was asked whether the loan order and the security bond relating to L I L O No.3/33 are available in his office, and he has reported that they are not forthcoming.

5. The Village Officers of Sanjeevarayankota village state that though two loans of Rs.1000 each were shown to have been granted to Rudra Gowd the borrower in the accounts, only one loan was in fact granted to him on the hypothication of S.Nos.259 and 261 and that the entry of two loans is a mistake in the accounts which requires rectification.

6. The borrower states that he has taken only two loans one in L.I.L.O No.3/34 for the construction of a vaddu in S.Nos.259 and 261 and another in L.I.L.O. No.2/34 for repairing a well in S.No.238 of Sanjeevarayankota village.

7. I verified the following records to see whether the loan of Rs.1000/- in L.I.L.O.No.3/33 was granted at all to the borrower.

1/ the records
of the bundle 1336;

(1) Inventory book of loan records relating to fasli 1333 to

(2) Shroff's cash book of charges;

(3) The register of loans works opened in 1924;

(4) Advices of loan advances; - N.C.P.

(5) Daily disbursement register under the Loans Acts;

(6) Huzur ledgers; - F.F.G.

(7) Loan records relating to L I L 2/34. - T

8. (1) Inventory book of loan records - The loan records relating to L I L O No.3/34 reveal that the borrower in question gave an application for a loan of Rs.1500/- in the month of June 1924, ie., at the end of fasli 1333, and that it was registered as L.A.No. 1193/33. The inventory book shows that this No.1193/33 has been ringed off with the remark "not paid". Similarly 1194/33, which relates to the application in L I L 2/34 in which the borrower was

big
vide also

granted a loan of Rs.2000/- for repairing a well, referred to in paragraph 6 above was ringed off. It is therefore evident that no amount was paid to him in fasli 1333.

(2) Shroff cash book of charges:

According to loan ledgers the borrower was paid on 13-10-1924 Rs.1000/- in each of the loans cases L I L O No.3/33 and L I L O No. 3/34, but the shroff's book of charges shows that the borrower was paid only a sum of Rs.1000/- on 13-10-1924.

(3) Register of Loans works:

It is seen from entries in this register that the borrower was granted only one loan of Rs.1000/- in L I L O No.3/34 for the work in question and that no loan was granted to him in fasli 1333 for any work.

(4) Advices of loan advances: According to them only one payment of Rs.1000/- was made to the borrower on 13-10-1924 and it relates to L I L O No.3/34.

(5) Daily disbursement register:

It shows that only one loan of Rs.1000/- was disbursed to the borrower on 13-10-1924 and it relates to L I L O 3/34.

(6) Huzur ledgers: The entries relating to the payment of a loan of Rs.1000/- were made in the two registers; viz., one relating to fasli 1333 and another relating to fasli 1334 and the particulars noted in both the registers agree with each other. The entries in respect of the amount of instalment and period of payment made in the register relating to fasli 1333 were altered subsequently without noting any authority.

(7) Loan records in L I L O 2/34 - The inspection report dated 26-3-1929¹⁴²⁸ of the Special Loans Revenue Inspector filed in these records reveals that only one loan of Rs.1000 was granted for the construction of a vaddu in S.Nos.259 and 261. The statement dated 25-3-1929¹⁴²⁸ given by the borrower before the Special Loans Revenue Inspector discloses that he took only one loan of Rs.1000 for constructing the vaddu in question.

All these facts conclusively show that the entry relating to L I L O No.3/33 in the Huzur and Taluk Ledgers is a double entry and is not made after actual disbursement.

9. In these circumstances I request that the Board be pleased to move the Government to order the elimination of the entry relating to the loans case in L I L O No.3/33 from the connected ledgers and to order the reduction of the amount of Rs.1000 from the demand.

10. All the connected records are enclosed for favour of reference and return.

Copy of Board's Ref.S-1586/41-1 dated 1-5-41.

Sub: Advances - Loans -(Bellary)- Bellary Taluk - L.I.L-3/33 - Elimination from accounts - Proposals.

pp 1-5 write Read: From the Collector of Bellary, No.A-1-5308/40 dated 22-2-41.

--

Reference:

The Collector of Bellary has recommended that the entries relating to L.I.L.3/33 of Bellary taluk might be eliminated from the Huzur and Taluk Loan Ledgers for the reasons that the entries are a repetition of those shown against L.I.L.3/34, that there is no evidence to show that any amount was disbursed to the borrowers other than that disbursed on 13-10-24 in L.I.L.3/34 so far as could be ascertained from the records verified by him and that it is improbable that the same person should have been granted two loans of the same amount on the same date.

The Collector is requested to re-examine his proposal further in the light of the following remarks:-

(i) Under the instructions (embodied in correction slip No.VI dated 4-12-1919) in note 1(v) to rule XXVII in the old Loans Manual (which was in force when the loan was sanctioned) the village officers should have maintained a register in form No.29 showing the advances made to cultivators. It should be verified whether the entries relating to L.I.L.3/33 and 3/34 in this register are also the same as those contained in the Taluk and District Loan Ledgers. The register should be obtained and submitted to the Board for reference and return.

(ii) From the extracts in the Huzur and Taluk loan ledgers submitted by the Collector, it is observed that the entries under section II - Recoveries - are different in respect of 3/33 and 3/34 and it is not clear how they can be different, if both the loans relate to one and the same case, and if as stated by the Collector the entries were altered, under what authority they were so altered. The Collector is requested to submit the originals of these registers also for reference.

(iii) It appears that the verification of the several Loans Registers and records made by the Collector was confined mainly to those of 1924. As part of fasli 1333 relates to 1923 also all the

registers and records of 1923 also should be verified to see whether there was no payment to the borrower in that year.

(iv) It is not clear whether there was any application from the borrower in F.1333 and if so, how it was shown to have been disposed of in the register of applications.

The inventory book referred to by the Collector does not seem to be of much use. Even the entry in respect of 1194 (which is said to relate to L.I.L. 0 2/34) is ringed off with the remarks 'not paid' when as a matter of fact the amount has been paid in this case.

(v) The District Registrar is said to have reported that the security bonds are not forthcoming. But a verification of the index which the District Registrar maintains is likely to throw some light in the matter.

(vi) The Taluk Head Accountant's Day Book which will contain a list of receipts and payments should be verified and the result reported.


(vii) It is possible that the amount was split up into Rs.1000 each just to confer jurisdiction as loans exceeding Rs.1000 have to be sanctioned by the Collectors. This fact may also be verified.

(viii) It is also probable that L.I.L.3/33 might relate to some other person. From a scrutiny of the register of ^{daily} ~~day~~ disbursements, it is seen that a sum of Rs.250/- was granted to one Pavada-gound on 17-10-23 in L.I.L.3/33. It is not clear in whose name Loan Order No.L.I.L.3/33 ^A is shown to have been issued in the Taluk Register of Loan Orders and how that case has been entered in the several registers.

The Collector is requested to submit a clear report on these points and also to state why the mistake was not detected earlier even though the loan records and registers in the Huzur office and in the Taluk Office were being inspected by the Collectors and the Revenue Divisional Officers respectively every year.

To The Collector of Bellary, with records returned as per list.

In reply, please give
number, date, and
short title.



From (Name)

A.D. Crombie, Esquire, C.I.S., I.C.S.,

(Designation)

Collector,

Post Box _____, (STATION) Bellary.

Telegraphic _____

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
Chepauk, Madras

R.O.C. A- 1 No 5308/4, dated 24-8-41 19

Sir,

Advances-Loans-(Bellary)-Bellary Taluk-
L.I.L.3/33-Elimination from accounts-Proposals
Further report-Submitted.

ENCLOSURES _____

SPARE COPIES _____

pp 1-5 ante Read again: This office R.O.C.No.A1 5308/40 dated
22nd February 1941.
pp 7-8 ante Board's Reference S.No.1586/41-1 dated the
1st May 1941.

The several points raised by the Board
in its reference dated 1st May 1941 cited above are dealt
with serially:-

Item (i) at present
to be kept in the
old Loans Manual
(W) in the
bundle

Point (i): The register in form No.29 maintained by village
officers under the instructions in Note 1(v) to Rule XXVII
in the old Loans Manual was verified. It contains entries
relating to the grant of loans from faslis 1331 to 1336. Only
two entries in the name of the borrower Rudra Gowd, viz.,
L.I.L.2/34 for Rs 2000/- and L.I.L.3/34 for Rs 1000/- are
found in it. Please see page 9 of the register. It does not
contain the entry relating to L.I.L.3/33. The register is
submitted herewith.

Point (ii): In G.O.No.628, Revenue, dated 1-4-1930, communi-
cated with Board's Mis.No.1134, dated 9th April 1930, the
Government ordered in paragraph 5(6) that the loans cases
relating to faslis 1330, 1333 and 1336 of the Bellary Taluk
excepting those pertaining to the two shrotrium villages of
Singadevanahalli and Somalapuram, should be treated as interest
free cases. The Treasury Deputy Collector reports that in

accordance with these instructions the in taluents - payatte

~~amounts~~ payment in L.I.L.3/33 was fixed at 16 instalments of Rs 62-8-0 each, treating the case as interest free, and that, as the concession was not extended to the loans cases of fasli 1334, the entries relating to L.I.L.3/34 were not altered. Hence the difference in the entries under Section II-Recoveries-in respect of L.I.L.3/33 and 3/34 in the Huzur and Taluk loan ledgers, which are enclosed.

Point (iii): According to the Board's instructions, the four volumes of the register of applications relating to fasli 1333, from Nos. 1 to 1195, were verified. In the fourth volume two applications are found to have been received from the borrower Rudra Gowd. They are numbered as 1193 and 1194. L.A.No.1193 is for Rs 1500/- and L.A.No.1194 is for Rs 2000/-. It is seen from the loan records that a sum of Rs 1000/- was granted by the Revenue Divisional officer, Bellary in his D.Dis.1326/24-A, dated 15-7-24 in respect of the application for Rs 1500/- and a sum of Rs 2000/- was granted by the Collector in his D.Dis.3891/24-B2 dated 9th July 1924 in respect of the loan application for Rs 2000/-.

M₁
Index registers of faslis 1333 and 1334 were verified. The register for fasli 1333 does not show any entry against the borrower, Rudra Gowd. Please see page 303 of the register. The index register for fasli 1334 shows only 2/34 and 2/34 against the ~~nkhhk~~ name of Rudra Gowd. Please see page 50 of the book.

in R Q & S
The loan order volumes relating to faslis 1333 and 1334 were also verified. Only the L.Os. Nos. 2/34, and 3/34 are found in the name of the borrower Rudra Gowd in the Volume relating to fasli 1334. The L.O.No.3/33 is in the name of one Pavada Gowd of ^{ee}sydaragadda village, Bellary, Taluk in the volume relating to fasli 1333. The loan granted in this L.O. is Rs 250/- It was granted by the Tahsildar. It has nothing to do with the Collector's L.I.L.3/33, which is considered to be a double entry.

(N) (D) (P)
The advices for fasli 1333 and 1334 were verified. Only cases relating to 2/34 and 3/34 are in the name of Rudra Gowd.

The loan application registers for fasli 1333, the index registers for faslis 1333 and 1334, the loan order volumes for fasli 1333 and 1334 and the advices relating these faslis are enclosed.

Point (iv): The reply to this point has been dealt against point (iii) above. The register of loan applications for fasli 1333 discloses that only two applications, viz., L.A. Nos. 1193 and 1194 were received from the borrower, and they are shown to have been closed in the register with reference to the orders of the Revenue Divisional Officer in his C.No. 656/28A dated 24-10-28, which disposal file has since been destroyed in the Revenue Divisional Officer's office, Bellary. The connected loan record files, however, reveal that L.A. Nos. 1193/33 and 1194/33 were subsequently disposed of as L.I.L. 3/34 and 2/34 respectively. The registers do not show any application other than the two referred to above, no having been received from the borrower during the fasli.

Point (v): On a reference made to the District Registrar, Bellary to verify the index register maintained in his office and to furnish the required information, he states that, on further verification of the records in his office, the loan order in question is not forthcoming.

Point (vi): The periods of retention of the Khudwastdar Accountant's number book and the Day Book are respectively three and ten years, and the Khudwastdar reports that they have been destroyed and could not therefore be verified according to the instructions of the Board.

The Treasury Deputy Collector, Bellary refers to one important register maintained in the Khudwastdar office, i.e., the register of schedule of (L.A.s) (Loans) payments. It shows that on the 13th October 1924 only one advance of Rs. 1000/- was made to the borrower, Budra Gowd according to Collector's L.O. 3/24, but it is seen from the register that originally 5/23 was shown against this payment and that this was closed only

The Revenue Divisional Officer should have detected this mistake ^{or} in the year 1924 and 1925, when he inspected the loans branch of the taluk Office but as the Revenue Divisional Officers in this district were in the habit of confining their inspections for a period of one year only from the date of last inspection, the Revenue Divisional Officer, Bellary would not have detected this mistake in subsequent years.

A report has also been submitted in this Office L.Dis.4702/40 dated 10-12-40 to the Board of Revenue with reference to its S.No. 7433/40, dated the 5th October 1940. The Board has since issued an amendment to the Loans Manual in its B.P.No.54, Press, dated the 16th May 1941 to the effect that the Revenue Divisional Officers should verify whether all the loan records which are not ripe for destruction are forthcoming and in good condition.

3. The collection of loan instalments were suspended ~~by~~ for about 17 years, viz., till fasli 1348 in the Bellary taluk on account of adverse seasonal conditions and consequently the mistake in question could not be detected earlier.

4. The Treasury Deputy Collector infers that originally the taluk Officers might have sent an advice for Rs.1000/- under L.I.L. No.3/33 incorrectly, [&] that this might have been entered in the Huzur ledger, and that the Office would have subsequently issued another advice for Rs.1000/- correcting L.I.L. 3/33 into L.I.L.3/34 which might have been noted evidently in the Huzur ledgers again instead of correcting L.I.L.3/33 into 3/34. He states that this presumption is strengthened by the facts that the entry made in the queue of (Loans) payments maintained in his Office was first noted as "Collector 3/33" and subsequently corrected as "Collectors" 3/34". His presumption appears reasonable.

5. In G.O.No.455, Revenue, Dated 10th March 1932 communicated with B.P. M/s.No.902 dated 22nd March 1933, it was laid down that
the Taluk

Taluk and the village ledgers should be written up in the Collector's Office with reference to the ledgers maintained in that Office. As the Taluk and village ledgers are only copies of the Huzur ledgers the entry in respect of L.I.L.3/33 was also repeated in these ledgers.

6. In the above circumstances I request that the Board may be pleased to move the Government to order the elimination of the entry relating to the loans case in L.I.L.3/33 from the connected ledgers and to order the reduction of Rs.1000/- from the total demand. *in full*

7. A statement showing the list of records and registers submitted to the Board is enclosed.


For Collector.

HVR.25/8.
AK.25/8.

Copy to the Treasury Deputy Collector, Bellary.

" Tahsildar, Bellary.

" to A-1.

HVR/25/8

List of enclosures to Board's Ref.S-1586/41-2 dated 13-10-41.

--

1. Register No.29(old No.31) maintained by the V.O.s of Sanjeevarayan kota.
2. Village ledger in form No.27 of Sanjeevarayankota.
3. Huzur ledger, Vol.I of Fasli 1333 containing entries from 1-48 of Taluk Tahsildar and Collector's grant in F.1/33,2/33 and 3/33.
4. Huzur ledger, Vol.I of fasli 1334 containing entries from 1 to ~~294x~~ 16.
5. Taluk register of applications of fasli 1333, Vol.I, from 1 to 294
6. do do Vol.II from 295 to 622
7. do do " III " 623 to 1023
8. do do " IV " 1024 to 1195
9. Index(in No.16-Part II) under L.I.L, Act-pages 1 to 50-fasli 1334
10. do do " 1-378-Fasli 1333
11. L.I.L & Agl.advices for part of fasli 1333 & of fasli 1334, which contain the advices relating to L.I.L.2 /34 for a sum of Rs.2000/-
12. do relating to L.I.L.3/34 for a sum of Rs.1000/-
13. L.L.L. and Agricultural(part) advices relating to faslis 1331,1332 and 1333 which contain the advices relating to Tahsildar's L.I.L.3/33.

14. Loan Order Vol.I(L.I.L.) of the Taluk Office, Bellary, for fasli 1334
15. do do do for fasli 1333.
16. The loans file (L.I.O.3/34) relating to the grant of Rs.1000/- by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Bellary.
17. The Taluk Office Shroff's charges register of part 1924 containing entries from 7-8-24 to 28-5-25
18. The loans file L.I.L.2/34 relating to the grant of Rs.2000/- by the Collector, Bellary.
19. The loan file L.I.L.3/33 relating to the grant of Rs.250/- to Pavadigowd of Seedaragadda of Bellary Taluk.
20. Loans schedule of payments register from June 1924 to March 1926 of the T.D.C's Office.
21. The register of loans works maintained in the Taluk Office(1924)
22. The ledger folios(register in Form 26) of Agl. L.I.L. cases of Sanjeevarayankota.
23. The inventory books under L.I.L. Act from fasli 1333 to 1336 - 2 Vols.
24. The register of daily disbursements under L.I.L. & Agri.Acts from 8-7-22 30-7-23 to 20-1-27.

Rev Dept

Advances - Loans - (Bellary) - Bellary Taluk -
Sanjevarayankota village - L.J.L 3/33 -
elimination from accounts - Proposals.

C.No. 3745 of 41. From the Board of Rev Refe.
S.No. 1586/41-2 dated 13-10-41.

To. U.S

Please see the Collector's letters at pp 1-5 and 9-14 and the Board's reference at p 1 of. The Collector's letters explain in detail how the entry relating to L.J.L 3/33 is a mistake

2 As regards the omission on the part of the officers in not having detected the mistake in the years 1924 or 1925 during their inspection of the loans branch of the Taluk office, ^{the records in the Taluk office} attention is invited to para 2 of the Collector's letter at pp 12-13 of

3 At the instance of the Collector Vizayapatam, in connection with the revision of records of certain loan cases, the Board of Revenue in its reference No. S. 7433/40-3 date 17-4-1941 submitted an amendment to para 7(ii), Chapter 10, Part III of the Loans Manual to the effect that 'Divisional officers should examine in detail at least once a year the records of all loan cases which have

pp 1-5 of G
S. 783 Rev
1-5-61
of Taluk Manual
L.K. No. 30

arisen since the date of last inspection and that they should also verify if all loan records which are not ripe for destruction are forthcoming and are in good condition. This amendment was approved in G.O. No. 986 Rev dated 1-5-'41. This amendment would appear to meet the situation and no other steps need perhaps be taken in the matter of amending the rules.

In the present case, it is for orders whether in the circumstances reported, the Board's recommendation that Govt. may order the deletion of the entries relating to the Bellary Collector's loan No. R.D. 3/33 in the connected loan records and the reduction of a sum of Rs 1,000 covered by the loan from the demand will be accepted. Subject to orders, a draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to Fin for acceptance.


My
25/10/41
P.K.
25/10/41

AGP
25/10
S

AW
11
3-10-41

29/10


Rev U.O. 37458/41
3-11-'41
(with records a
per list at pp 1576



The draft order may be accepted.

OK
5.11.41

K a p 13 CF



Min. no. 37855, Exp-1
7.11.41

OK
7/11

5

OK
6.11.41

OK
7/11

OK

Rw Dept
The notes from p1 may be read
The draft may issue

OK
7/11

OK
8.11.

C.F.
OK
14/11/

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL,

Date.

Initials.

SUBJECT :—

When received for fair copying ..

10/11

Q

When copied ..

When examined ..

When signed ..

When despatched.

READ AGAIN

19

" "

19

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 37454/41 From the Board of Rev. Refs. No. 1581/41, 2 dated 13-10-1941

"

"

"

"

19

"

"

"

"

19

Order Ms. Resolution No.

2562

dated

10 11 41

19

In the circumstances reported the Govt. direct that the entries relating to the Kullu Valley Collector's Loan No. L.V.L. 3/33 in the concerned loan records be deleted and that a sum of Rs 1000/- (Rupees one thousand only) covered by the loan be removed from the demand.

To,

The Board of Revenue

with records as per list

A - G through

Final copy

25/10/41

25/10/41

25/10/41

